arXiv:1104.0463v1 [math.AP] 4 Apr 2011

Inverse obstacle scattering problems with a single incident wave and the logarithmic differential of the indicator function in the Enclosure Method

Masaru IKEHATA*

April 3, 2011

Abstract

This paper gives a remark on the Enclosure Method by considering inverse obstacle scattering problems with a single incident wave whose governing equation is given by the Helmholtz equation in two dimensions. It is concerned with the indicator function in the Enclosure Method. The previous indicator function is essentially real-valued since only its absolute value is used. In this paper, another method for the use of the indicator function is introduced. The method employs the *logarithmic differential* with respect to the independent variable of the indicator function and yields directly the coordinates of the vertices of the convex hull of unknown polygonal sound-hard obstacles or thin ones. The convergence rate of the formulae is better than that of the previous indicator function. Some other applications of this method are also given.

AMS: 35R30
KEY WORDS: inverse obstacle scattering, enclosure method, sound wave, Helmholtz equation, single incident wave, fixed wave number

1 Introduction and statements of the main results

The aim of this paper is to add further new knowledge on the *Enclosure Method*. The Enclosure Method was originally introduced in [5, 4] for inverse boundary value problems for elliptic equations. The method aims at extracting information about the location and shape of unknown discontinuity embedded in a known reference medium that gives an effect on the propagation of the signal, such as an obstacle, inclusion, crack, etc. The method can be divided into two versions. One is a version that employs infinitely many pairs of input and output data, that is, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (or Neumann-to-Dirichlet map). Another is a version that employs a single set of input and output data. We call this second version the *single measurement version* of the Enclosure Method. We refer the reader to [11, 12, 13] for recent applications of the single measurement version of the Enclosure Method.

---

*Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Engineering, Gunma University, Kiryu 376-8515, JAPAN, ikehata@math.sci.gunma-u.ac.jp

This paper is concerned with the *indicator function* in the single measurement version of the Enclosure Method. In [8], having the single measurement version of the Enclosure Method, the author considered the reconstruction issue of inverse obstacle scattering problems of acoustic wave in two dimensions. The problem is to reconstruct a two dimensional obstacle from the Cauchy data on a circle surrounding the obstacle of the total wave field generated by a *single* incident *plane wave* with a *fixed* wave number $k > 0$. The author established an extraction formula of the value of the support function at a generic direction which yields information about the *convex hull* of *polygonal* sound-hard obstacles. However, the indicator function used in [8] is essentially real-valued since only its absolute value is used. In this paper, another method for the use of the indicator function is introduced. It is shown that the *logarithmic differential* with respect to the independent variable of the indicator function yields directly the coordinates of the vertices of the convex hull of unknown polygonal sound-hard obstacles or thin ones.

Let us describe our main results. First consider a *polygonal* obstacle denoted by D, that is: $D \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ takes the form $D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_m$ with $1 \le m < \infty$ where each D_j is open and a polygon; $\overline{D}_j \cap \overline{D}_{j'} = \emptyset$ if $j \ne j'$.

The total wave field u outside obstacle D takes the form $u(x; d, k) = e^{ikx\cdot d} + w(x)$ with $k > 0$, $d \in S^1$ and satisfies

$$\triangle u + k^2 u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \overline{D},$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial D,$$

$$\lim_{r \longrightarrow \infty} \sqrt{r} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - ikw \right) = 0,$$

where $r = |x|$ and ν denotes the unit outward normal relative to D. The last condition above is called the Sommerfeld radiation condition. Some further information about u are in order. u belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \overline{D})$ and satisfies $u|_B \in H^1((\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \overline{D}) \cap B)$ for a large open disc B containing $\overline{D}$. This restricts the singularity of u at the corner of D. The boundary condition for $\partial u/\partial \nu$ on ∂D means that D is a *sound-hard* obstacle and should be considered as a weak sense.

Note that, all the results in this paper k is just positive and there is *no other assumption* on k.

Let B_R be an open disc with radius R centered at a fixed point satisfying $\overline{D} \subset B_R$. We assume that B_R is *known*. Our data are $u = u(\,\cdot\,; d, k)$ and $\partial u/\partial \nu$ on ∂B_R for a fixed d and k, where ν is the unit outward normal relative to B_R. Let ω and $\omega^\perp$ be two unit vectors perpendicular to each other. We always choose the orientation of $\omega^\perp$ and ω coincides with $\boldsymbol{e}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_2$ and thus $\omega^\perp$ is unique.

Recall the *support function* of D: $h_D(\omega) = \sup_{x \in D} x \cdot \omega$. We say that ω is *regular* with respect to D if the set $\partial D \cap \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \,|\, x \cdot \omega = h_D(\omega)\}$ consists of only one point.

Set $c_\tau(\omega) = \tau\,\omega + i\sqrt{\tau^2 + k^2}\,\omega^\perp$ with $\tau > 0$. Let $v_\tau(x) = e^{x \cdot c_\tau(\omega)}$. This v satisfies the Helmholtz equation in the whole plane.

Define

$$I(\tau; \omega, d, k) = \int_{\partial B_R} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} v_\tau - \frac{\partial v_\tau}{\partial \nu} u \right) dS. \tag{1.1}$$

This complex valued-function of τ is called the *indicator function* in the single measurement version of the Enclosure Method. In [8] Ikehata has established the formula:

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{\tau}\log|I(\tau;\omega,d,k)|=h_D(\omega),\tag{1.2}$$

provided ω is regular with respect to D. In this formula one makes use of only the *absolute value* of indicator function $I(\tau;\omega,d,k)$. Thus one needs two regular directions ω for determining a single vertex of the convex hull of D since formula (1.2) gives only a single line on which the vertex lies. Here we present a method for the use of the complex values of the indicator function which directly yields the coordinates of a vertex of the convex hull of D with indicator functions for a single regular direction ω

Since $(\omega^{\perp})^{\perp}=-\omega$, we have $\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,\omega+i\tau\omega^{\perp}=ic_{\tau}(\omega^{\perp})$. This gives

$$\partial_{\tau}v_{\tau}=\frac{i}{\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}}\,x\cdot c_{\tau}(\omega^{\perp})v_{\tau}$$

and thus we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}(\partial_{\tau}v_{\tau})=\frac{i}{\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}}\left\{c_{\tau}(\omega^{\perp})\cdot\nu+(x\cdot c_{\tau}(\omega^{\perp}))(c_{\tau}(\omega)\cdot\nu)\right\}v_{\tau}\tag{1.3}$$

and

$$I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)=\int_{\partial B_R}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\cdot\partial_{\tau}v_{\tau}-\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}(\partial_{\tau}v_{\tau})u\right)dS.\tag{1.4}$$

Our first result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let ω be regular with respect to D. Let $x_0\in\partial D$ be the point with $x_0\cdot\omega=h_D(\omega)$. There exists a $\tau_0>0$ such that, for all $\tau\ge\tau_0$ $|I(\tau;\omega,d,k)|>0$ and the formula*

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)}{I(\tau;\omega,d,k)}=h_D(\omega)+ix_0\cdot\omega^{\perp},\tag{1.5}$$

is valid.

Theorem 1.1 means that, as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$ the *logarithmic differential* of $I(\tau;\omega,d,k)$ with respect to τ converges to $x_0\cdot\omega+ix_0\cdot\omega^{\perp}$. The convergence rate of (1.5) is better than that of (1.2). For this see Remark 2.1 in Section 2.

Remark 1.1. By (1.4) $I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)$ can be considered as another indicator function, however, it is easy to see that from (1.2) and (1.5) we have

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{\tau}\log|I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)|=h_D(\omega).$$

Thus from this formula we can not obtain any new information about D.

Here we explain why (1.5) gives further information about D. Let ω be *regular* with respect to D. We denote by $x(\omega)=(x(\omega)_1,x(\omega)_2)$ the single point in $\partial D\cap\{x\in\mathbf{R}^2\,|\,x\cdot\omega=h_D(\omega)\}$. Since it holds that

$$x\cdot(\omega+i\omega^{\perp})=(x_1-ix_2)(\omega_1+i\omega_2),\ x\in\mathbf{R}^2,\tag{1.6}$$

from (1.5) we have

$$x(\omega)_1=\text{Re}\left\{(\omega_1+i\omega_2)\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\overline{\left(\frac{I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)}{I(\tau;\omega,d,k)}\right)}\right\} \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$x(\omega)_2=\text{Im}\left\{(\omega_1+i\omega_2)\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\overline{\left(\frac{I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)}{I(\tau;\omega,d,k)}\right)}\right\}. \tag{1.8}$$

The set $I(D)$ of all directions which are not regular with respect to D is finite. Let $I(D)=\{(\cos\,\theta_j,\sin\,\theta_j)\,|\,0\le\theta_1<\cdots<\theta_N<2\pi\}$ and $k=1,2$. Define

$$x(\theta)_k=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} x((\cos\,\theta,\sin\,\theta))_k, & \text{if } \theta\in[0,\,2\pi[\setminus\{\theta_j\,|\,j=1,\cdots,N\},\\ \\ \infty, & \text{if } \theta=\theta_j,\ j=1,\cdots,N.\end{array}\right.$$

and extend it as the 2π-periodic function of $\theta\in\mathbf{R}$. Since D is polygonal, both $x(\theta)_1$ and $x(\theta)_2$ are *piece-wise constant* and one of which has discontinuity at $\theta=\theta_j$ in the following sense: for each j it holds that $x(\theta_j+0)_1\not=x(\theta_j-0)_1$ or $x(\theta_j+0)_2\not=x(\theta_j-0)_2$. Therefore one can expect that computing both $x(\omega)_1$ and $x(\omega)_2$ for sufficiently many ω via formulae (1.7) and (1.8), one can estimate $I(D)$. This is a new information extracted from the indicator function in the Enclosure Method.

Another implication of Theorem 1.1 is the following idea. Given $y\in\mathbf{R}^2$ define

$$I(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)=e^{-y\cdot(\tau\omega+i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\omega^{\perp})}I(\tau;\omega,d,k).$$

This corresponds to substitute $x\mapsto e^{(x-y)\cdot(\tau\omega+i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\omega^{\perp})}$ instead of v_{τ} into (1.1). Since

$$I'(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)=-y\cdot\left(\omega+i\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}}\omega^{\perp}\right)I(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)+e^{-y\cdot(\tau\omega+i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\omega^{\perp})}I'(\tau;\omega,d,k),$$

it follows from (1.5) that

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{I'(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)}{I(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)}=(x_0-y)\cdot(\omega+i\omega^{\perp}).$$

This together with (1.6) yields that

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\left\vert\frac{I'(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)}{I(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)}\right\vert=\vert x_0-y\vert.$$

Since x_0 is the unique point which minimizes the function $y\mapsto\vert x_0-y\vert$, one possible alternative idea to find x_0 is to consider the *minimization* problem of the following function for a suitable τ:

$$y\mapsto\left\vert\frac{I'(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)}{I(\tau;y,\omega,d,k)}\right\vert.$$

Since this paper concentrates on only the theoretical issue of the Enclosure Method, we leave the numerical implementation of this idea for future research.

The result can be extended to a *thin* obstacle case. Let Σ be the union of finitely many disjoint closed piecewise linear segments $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \cdots, \Sigma_m$. Assume that there exists a simply connected open set D such that D is a polygon and each Σ_j consists of sides of D. We assume that $\overline{D} \subset B_R$ with a $R > 0$. We denote by ν the unit outward normal on ∂D relative to $B_R \setminus \overline{D}$ and set $\nu^+ = \nu$ and $\nu^- = -\nu$ on Σ. Given $k > 0$ and $d \in S^1$ let $u = u(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \Sigma$ be the solution of the scattering problem

$$(\triangle + k^2)u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \Sigma,$$

$$\frac{\partial u^\pm}{\partial \nu^\pm} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma,$$

$$\lim_{r \longrightarrow \infty} \sqrt{r}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - ik\,w\right) = 0,$$

where $w = u - e^{ikx\cdot d}$, $u^+ = u|_{\mathbf{R}^2\setminus\overline{D}}$ and $u^- = u|_D$. Note that this is a brief description of the problem and for exact one see [8]. Define

$$I_\Sigma(\tau; \omega, d, k) = \int_{\partial B_R} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} v_\tau - \frac{\partial v_\tau}{\partial \nu} u\right) dS.$$

The following is our second result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let ω be regular with respect to Σ. If every end points of $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \cdots, \Sigma_m$ satisfies $x\cdot\omega < h_\Sigma(\omega)$, then there exists a $\tau_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\tau \ge \tau_0$ $|I_\Sigma(\tau; \omega, d, k)| > 0$ and the formula*

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{I'_\Sigma(\tau; \omega, d, k)}{I_\Sigma(\tau; \omega, d, k)} = h_D(\omega) + ix_0 \cdot \omega^\perp, \tag{1.9}$$

is valid. If there is an end point x_0 of some Σ_j such that $x_0 \cdot \omega = h_\Sigma(\omega)$, then, for d that is not perpendicular to ν on Σ_j near the point, the same conclusions as above are valid.

Note that ν on $\Sigma_j \cap B_\eta(x_0)$ for sufficiently small $\eta > 0$ becomes a constant vector if x_0 is an end point of Σ_j.

In [8] under the same assumption as Theorem 1.2, it is shown that

$$\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \log |I_\Sigma(\tau; \omega, d, k)| = h_\Sigma(\omega). \tag{1.10}$$

Thus (1.9) also adds a further knowledge on the use of the indicator function in thin obstacle case.

A brief outline of this paper is as follows. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. Both proofs employ some previous computation results done in [8] for the proof of (1.2) and (1.10), however, some nontrivial modifications of the computation are also required. The idea of using the logarithmic differential of the original indicator function developed in this paper can be applied to several other previous applications of the Enclosure Method published in [4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13]. In Section 4 two applications of the argument for the proof of Theorem 1.1 are given. In Appendix first for reader's convenience we give the proof of Proposition 2.1 which ensures an expansion of the solution of the Helmholtz equation at a corner. The proof is focused on some technical part that is different from the case when $k=0$. Second a proof of Lemma 2.3 which is important for the computation of the expansion of $I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)$ as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$ is given. Third a proof of some estimates that are needed for the proof of one of two applications in Section 4 is given

Note also that in Sections 2 and 3 we simply write $v_\tau=v$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let x_0 denote the single point of the set $\{x\,|\,x\cdot\omega=h_D(\omega)\}\cap\partial D$. x_0 has to be a vertex of D_j for some j. The internal angle of D_j at x_0 is less than π and thus 2π minus the internal angle which we denote by Θ satisfies $\pi<\Theta<2\pi$.

In what follows we denote by $B_R(x_0)$ the open disc with radius R centered at x_0. If one chooses a sufficiently small $\eta>0$, then one can write

$$B_{2\eta}(x_0)\cap(B_{R_1}\setminus\overline{D})=\{x_0+r(\cos\theta\,\boldsymbol{a}+\sin\theta\,\boldsymbol{a}^\perp)\,|\,0<r<2\eta,\,0<\theta<\Theta\},$$

$$B_\eta(x_0)\cap\partial D=\Gamma_p\cup\Gamma_q\cup\{x_0\}$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}=\cos p\,\omega^\perp+\sin p\,\omega$, $\boldsymbol{a}^\perp=-\sin p\,\omega^\perp+\cos p\,\omega$; $-\pi<p<0$; $\Gamma_p=\{x_0+r\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,0<r<\eta\}$, $\Gamma_q=\{x_0+r(\cos\Theta\,\boldsymbol{a}+\sin\Theta\,\boldsymbol{a}^\perp)\,|\,0<r<\eta\}$. Note that the orientation of $\boldsymbol{a}$, $\boldsymbol{a}^\perp$ coincides with that of $\boldsymbol{e}_1,\boldsymbol{e}_2$. See also Figure 1 of [4].

The quantity $-p$ means the angle between two vectors $\omega^\perp$ and $\boldsymbol{a}$. p satisfies $\Theta>\pi+(-p)$. Set $q=\Theta-2\pi+p$. Then we have $-\pi<q<p<0$ and the expression

$$\Gamma_p=\{x_0+r(\cos p\,\omega^\perp+\sin p\,\omega)\,|\,0<r<\eta\},$$

$$\Gamma_q=\{x_0+r(\cos q\,\omega^\perp+\sin q\,\omega)\,|\,0<r<\eta\}.$$

This is the meaning of p and q.
We set

$$u(r,\theta)=u(x),\ x=x_0+r(\cos\theta\,\boldsymbol{a}+\sin\theta\,\boldsymbol{a}^\perp).$$

The followng proposition describes the behaviour of $u(r,\theta)$ as $r\longrightarrow 0$.

Proposition 2.1(Proposition 4.2 in [8]). *Let η satisfy $\eta<<1/2k$. Then, there exists a sequence $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_m,\cdots$ such that:*
(1) for each $s\in]0,2[$

$$u(r,\theta)=\sum_{m=1}^\infty\alpha_m J_{\mu_m}(kr)\cos\mu_m\theta,\ \ in\,H^1(B_{s\eta}(x_0)\cap(B_R\setminus\overline{D}))$$

where

$$\mu_m=\frac{(m-1)\pi}{\Theta}$$

and J_{μ_m} denotes the Bessel function of order μ_m given by the formula

$$J_{\mu_m}(z)=\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\mu_m}\sum_{n=0}^\infty\frac{(-1)^n}{n!\Gamma(n+1+\mu_m)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n};$$

(2) as $m \longrightarrow \infty$

$$|\alpha_m| = O\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu_m)}{\sqrt{\mu_m}}\left(\frac{1}{\eta k}\right)^{\mu_m}\right);$$

(3) for each $l = 1, \cdots$ *there exists a positive number* C_l *such that, for all* $r \in]0,\, \eta[$

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(r,0) - \sum_{m=1}^{l} \alpha_m J_{\mu_m}(kr)| \le C_l r^{\mu_{l+1}} \\ &|u(r,\Theta) - \sum_{m=1}^{l} \alpha_m (-1)^{m-1} J_{\mu_m}(kr)| \le C_l r^{\mu_{l+1}},\ 0 < r < \eta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

In [8] the proof is omitted since it can be done along the same line as the proof in the case when $k = 0$ given in [3]. However, there is a technical difference from the case when $k = 0$ and so to make sure and for reader's convenience, in Appendix we give the proof which focused on the diffrence.

Let $s = \sqrt{\tau^2 + k^2} + \tau$. We have:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2}\left(s - \frac{k^2}{s}\right),\ \sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(s + \frac{k^2}{s}\right).$$

Recall that the proof of formula (1.2) is based on the following two facts in [8].

- As $s \longrightarrow \infty$ the *complete* asymptotic expansion

$$e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}x_0\cdot\omega^\perp} e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)} I(\tau;\omega,d,k) \sim -i \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_n} k^{\mu_n} \alpha_n \{e^{ip\mu_n} + (-1)^n e^{iq\mu_n}\}}{s^{\mu_n}}, \tag{2.2}$$

is valid.

- $\exists n \ge 2\ \ \alpha_n\{e^{ip\mu_n} + (-1)^n e^{iq\mu_n}\} \ne 0$. Thus the quantity

$$m^* = \min\{m \ge 2 \,|\, \alpha_m\{e^{ip\mu_m} + (-1)^m e^{iq\mu_m}\} \ne 0\}$$

is well-defined. m^* depends on k, d, D and ω.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we compute the asymptotic expansion of $I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)$ as $s \longrightarrow \infty$. The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp} e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)} I'(\tau;\omega,d,k) \\ &= -i\sum_{m=2}^{n} \alpha_m\{e^{ip\mu_m} + (-1)^m e^{iq\mu_m}\}(-\mu_m + ix_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp))\frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_m}k^{\mu_m}}{s^{\mu_m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The proof of (2.3) is given in Subsection 2.1. Here we show how to prove Theorem 1.1 by assuming (2.3).

Since $\alpha_m\{e^{ip\mu_m} + (-1)^m e^{iq\mu_m}\} = 0$ for all m with $m < m^*$ and $\beta \equiv \alpha_{m^*}\{e^{ip\mu_{m^*}} + (-1)^{m^*} e^{iq\mu_{m^*}}\} \ne 0$, from (2.2) we have

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} \tau^{\mu_{m^*}} e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp} e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)} I(\tau;\omega,d,k) = -i\beta e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_{m^*}} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{\mu_{m^*}}. \tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{ix_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)}{\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}}=x_0\cdot\omega+ix_0\cdot\omega^\perp,$$

it follows from (2.3) that

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\tau^{\mu_{m^*}}e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)=-i\beta(x_0\cdot\omega+ix_0\cdot\omega^\perp)e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_{m^*}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{\mu_{m^*}}. \quad (2.5)$$

A combination of (2.4) and (2.5) ensures the validity of (1.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 2.1. Since $c_\tau(\omega^\perp)$ depends on τ and thus s, (2.3) is not the *complete* asymptotic expansion. However, using (2.2), (2.3) and the expression

$$ic_\tau(\omega^\perp)=\frac{s}{2}(\omega+i\omega^\perp)+\frac{k^2}{2s}(\omega-i\omega^\perp),$$

one can easily obtain the following expansion:

$$e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}\left\{\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I'-\left(\frac{s}{2}x_0\cdot(\omega+i\omega^\perp)+\frac{k^2}{2s}x_0\cdot(\omega-i\omega^\perp)\right)I\right\}$$

$$=i\sum_{m=2}^{n}\alpha_m\{e^{ip\mu_m}+(-1)^m e^{iq\mu_m}\}\frac{\mu_m e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_m}k^{\mu_m}}{s^{\mu_m}}+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}}}\right),$$

where $I=I(\tau;\omega,d,k)$ and $I'=I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)$. Note that we have used $\pi<\Theta\le 2\pi$.

The above formula yields the second term of the expansion of the logarithmic differential of the indicator function as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$:

$$\frac{I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)}{I(\tau;\omega,d,k)}=h_D(\omega)+ix_0\cdot\omega^\perp-\frac{\mu_{m^*}}{\tau}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right).$$

Thus the convergence rate of (1.5) is *better* than that of (1.2) since (2.4) yields

$$\frac{1}{\tau}\log|I(\tau;\omega,d,k)|=h_D(\omega)-\frac{\mu_{m^*}\log\tau}{\tau}+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right).$$

From this view point one can say that (1.5) is an improvement of (1.2). Note also that both formulae show that the accuracy of the approximation depends on the *size* of $\mu_{m^*}=(m^*-1)\pi/\Theta$.

2.1 Proof of (2.3)

Integration by parts gives

$$I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)=\int_{\partial D}u\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\partial_\tau v dS.$$

Localizing this integral at x_0, we have, modulo exponentially decaying as $\tau \longrightarrow \infty$

$$e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)$$

$$\sim e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}\int_{\Gamma_p}u\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\partial_\tau v dS+e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}\int_{\Gamma_q}u\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\partial_\tau v dS \tag{2.6}$$

$$\equiv I_p(\tau)+I_q(\tau).$$

We have:$\nu = \sin p\,\omega^\perp - \cos p\,\omega$ and $x = x_0 + r(\cos p\,\omega^\perp + \sin p\,\omega)$ on Γ_p; $\nu = -\sin q\,\omega^\perp + \cos q\,\omega$ and $x = x_0 + r(\cos q\,\omega^\perp + \sin q\,\omega)$ on Γ_q.

From those we have:

on Γ_p

$$\begin{aligned} c_\tau(\omega^\perp)\cdot\nu &= \tau\sin p + i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos p,\\ c_\tau(\omega)\cdot\nu &= -(\tau\cos p - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin p),\\ x\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp) &= x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp) + r(\tau\cos p - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin p),\\ v &= e^{\tau h_D(\omega)}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{\tau r\,\sin p}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\,\cos p}; \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

on Γ_q

$$\begin{aligned} c_\tau(\omega^\perp)\cdot\nu &= -(\tau\sin q + i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos q),\\ c_\tau(\omega)\cdot\nu &= \tau\cos q - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin q,\\ x\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp) &= x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp) + r(\tau\cos q - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin q),\\ v &= e^{\tau h_D(\omega)}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{\tau r\,\sin q}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\,\cos q}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

It follows from (1.3) and (2.7) that

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_p(\tau)$$

$$= i\left\{(\tau\sin p + i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos p) - x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau\cos p - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin p)\right\}$$

$$\times\int_0^\eta u(r,0)e^{\tau r\,\sin p}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\,\cos p}dr \tag{2.9}$$

$$-i(\tau\cos p - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin p)^2\int_0^\eta r u(r,0)e^{\tau r\,\sin p}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\,\cos p}dr.$$

It follows also from (1.3) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_q(\tau)\\&=-i\left\{(\tau\sin q+i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos q)-x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau\cos q-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin q)\right\}\\&\times\int_0^\eta u(r,\Theta)e^{\tau r\sin q}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\cos q}dr\\&+i(\tau\cos q-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin q)^2\int_0^\eta ru(r,\Theta)e^{\tau r\sin q}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\cos q}dr.\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

Here we make use of (2.1). Since $\alpha_1 J_0(k|x-x_0|)$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation in the whole plane, the indicator function for u coincides with that for $u-\alpha_1 J_0(k|x-|_0)$. Thus one may assume, in advance $\alpha_1=0$ in the computation of the integrals in (2.9) and (2.10).

Since p and q satisfies $-\pi<q<p<0$, we have $\sin p<0$ and $\sin q<0$. This gives, for $\theta=p,q$ and $\mu>0$

$$\int_0^\eta r^\mu e^{\tau r\sin\theta}dr=O(\tau^{-(\mu+1)}).\tag{2.11}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}I_\mu(\tau,\theta)&=\int_0^\eta J_\mu(kr)e^{\tau r\sin\theta}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\cos\theta}dr,\\K_\mu(\tau,\theta)&=\int_0^\eta rJ_\mu(kr)e^{\tau r\sin\theta}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\cos\theta}dr.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.11) that

$$\int_0^\eta u(r,0)e^{\tau r\sin p}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\cos p}dr=\sum_{m=2}^n\alpha_m I_{\mu_m}(\tau,p)+O(\tau^{-(\mu_{n+1}+1)}),$$

$$\int_0^\eta ru(r,0)e^{\tau r\sin p}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\cos p}dr=\sum_{m=2}^n\alpha_m K_{\mu_m}(\tau,p)+O(\tau^{-(\mu_{n+1}+2)}),$$

$$\int_0^\eta u(r,\Theta)e^{\tau r\sin q}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\cos q}dr=\sum_{m=2}^n\alpha_m(-1)^{m-1}I_{\mu_m}(\tau,q)+O(\tau^{-(\mu_{n+1}+1)}),$$

$$\int_0^\eta ru(r,\Theta)e^{\tau r\sin q}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\cos q}dr=\sum_{m=2}^n\alpha_m(-1)^{m-1}K_{\mu_m}(\tau,q)+O(\tau^{-(\mu_{n+1}+2)}).$$

Substituting these into (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_p(\tau)\\&=i\left\{(\tau\sin\,p+i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos\,p)-x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau\cos\,p-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\,p)\right\}\\&\times\sum_{m=2}^n\alpha_m I_{\mu_m}(\tau,p)\\&-i(\tau\cos\,p-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\,p)^2\sum_{m=2}^n\alpha_m K_{\mu_m}(\tau,p)+O(\tau^{-\mu_{n+1}})\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_q(\tau)\\&=-i\left\{(\tau\sin\,q+i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos\,q)-x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau\cos\,q-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\,q)\right\}\\&\times\sum_{m=2}^n\alpha_m(-1)^{m-1}I_{\mu_m}(\tau,q)\\&+i(\tau\cos\,q-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\,q)^2\sum_{m=2}^n\alpha_m(-1)^{m-1}K_{\mu_m}(\tau,q)+O(\tau^{-\mu_{n+1}}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

In what follows we choose an arbitrary n and fix. Let $\mu=\mu_m$ with $0\le m\le n$. We have already established that

Proposition 2.2([8]). *As $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$ we have*

$$(\tau\,\cos\,\theta-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,\sin\,\theta)I_\mu(\tau,\theta)=\frac{ie^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu}k^\mu}{s^\mu}+O(s^{-\infty}).\tag{2.15}$$

The main problem is to compute the asymptotic expansion of the quantity $(\tau\cos\,\theta-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,\sin\,\theta)^2K_\mu(\tau,\theta)$.

We prove

Proposition 2.3. *As $s\longrightarrow\infty$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&(\tau\,\cos\,\theta-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,\sin\,\theta)^2K_\mu(\tau,\theta)\\&=i(1-\zeta)^2\{1+\zeta+\mu(1-\zeta)\}(1-\zeta)^{-3}\frac{ie^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu}k^\mu}{s^\mu}+O(s^{-\infty}),\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

where $\zeta=(k/s)^2e^{2i\theta}$.

For the proof we prepare the following three technical lemmas.
The first one can be proven along the same line as Lemma 3.1 in [8].

Lemma 2.1. *For each $l' = 0, 1, \cdots$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &K_\mu(\tau,\theta) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{l'} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!\Gamma(1+j+\mu)} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2j+\mu} \int_0^\infty r^{2j+\mu+1} e^{\tau r \sin\theta} e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} r \cos\theta} dr \\ &+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{2+2(l'+1)+\mu}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

The second is nothing but Lemma 3.2 in [8].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $-\pi < \theta < 0$. For each $l = 0, 1, \cdots$ as $s \longrightarrow \infty$ the formula*

$$\int_0^\infty r^\sigma e^{\tau r \sin\theta} e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} r \cos\theta} dr = \sum_{n=0}^{l} \frac{L_{\sigma,n}(\theta)}{s^{\sigma+2n+1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\sigma+2(l+1)+1}}\right), \tag{2.18}$$

is valid where

$$L_{\sigma,n}(\theta) = ie^{i\theta} e^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})\sigma} 2^{\sigma+1} (-k^2 e^{2i\theta})^n \frac{\Gamma(\sigma+n+1)}{n!}.$$

The third corresponds to Lemma 3.3 in [8], however, the proof needs a careful modification of that of Lemma 3.3. See Appendix for the proof.

Lemma 2.3.

$$\sum_{n_1+n_2=n} \frac{(-1)^{n_2}\Gamma(n+2+n_2+\mu_m)}{n_1! n_2! \Gamma(1+n_2+\mu_m)} = (-1)^n (n+1)(n+1+\mu). \tag{2.19}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.3. A combination of (2.17) and (2.18) for $\sigma = 2j + \mu + 1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &K_\mu(\tau,\theta) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{l'} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!\Gamma(1+j+\mu)} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2j+\mu} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{l} \frac{L_{2j+\mu+1,n}(\theta)}{s^{2j+\mu+2n+2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2j+\mu+2(l+1)+2}}\right) \right\} \\ &+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2+2(l'+1)+\mu}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{l'} \sum_{n=0}^{l} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!\Gamma(1+j+\mu)} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2j+\mu} \frac{L_{2j+\mu+1,n}(\theta)}{s^{2(n+j)+\mu+2}} \\ &+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2(l+1)+\mu+2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2(l'+1)+\mu+2}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Now let $l = l'$. Then (2.20) becomes

$$K_\mu(\tau,\theta)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{l}\left(\sum_{n_1+n_2=n}\frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{n_2!\Gamma(1+n_2+\mu)}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2n_2+\mu}L_{2n_2+\mu+1,n_1}(\theta)\right)\times\frac{1}{s^{2n+\mu+2}} \tag{2.21}$$

$$+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2(l+1)+\mu+2}}\right).$$

Write

$$\sum_{n_1+n_2=n}\frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{n_2!\Gamma(1+n_2+\mu)}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2n_2+\mu}L_{2n_2+\mu+1,n_1}(\theta)$$

$$=\sum_{n_1+n_2=n}\frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{n_2!\Gamma(1+n_2+\mu)}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2n_2+\mu} \tag{2.22}$$

$$\times ie^{i\theta}e^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})(2n_2+\mu+1)}2^{2n_2+\mu+2}(-k^2e^{2i\theta})^{n_1}\frac{\Gamma(2n_2+\mu+n_1+2)}{n_1!}$$

$$=2^2ie^{i\theta}e^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})(\mu+1)}k^\mu(-k^2e^{2i\theta})^n\sum_{n_1+n_2=n}\frac{(-1)^{n_2}\Gamma(n+2+n_2+\mu)}{n_1!n_2!\Gamma(1+n_2+\mu)}.$$

From (2.19), (2.21) and (2.22) one gets

$$K_\mu(\tau,\theta)=2^2ie^{i\theta}e^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})(\mu+1)}k^\mu\sum_{n=0}^{l}\frac{(k^2e^{2i\theta})^n(n+1)(n+1+\mu)}{s^{2n+\mu+2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2(l+1)+\mu+2}}\right).$$

From this one gets

$$(\tau\,\cos\theta-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,\sin\theta)^2K_\mu(\tau,\theta)$$

$$=\frac{(se^{-i\theta})^2}{2^2}(1-\zeta)^2$$

$$\times\left\{2^2ie^{i\theta}e^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})(\mu+1)}k^\mu\sum_{n=0}^{l}\frac{(k^2e^{2i\theta})^n(n+1)(n+1+\mu)}{s^{2n+\mu+2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2(l+1)+\mu+2}}\right)\right\}$$

$$=e^{-2i\theta}(1-\zeta)^2 \tag{2.23}$$

$$\times\left\{ie^{i\theta}e^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})(\mu+1)}k^\mu\sum_{n=0}^{l}\frac{(k^2e^{2i\theta})^n(n+1)(n+1+\mu)}{s^{2n+\mu}}+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2(l+1)+\mu}}\right)\right\}$$

$$=i(1-\zeta)^2\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{l}\frac{(k^2e^{2i\theta})^n(n+1)(n+1+\mu)}{s^{2n}}+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2(l+1)}}\right)\right\}\frac{ie^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu}k^\mu}{s^\mu}.$$

Let $|\zeta| < 1$. Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta^n (n+1) = (1-\zeta)^{-2}, \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta^n (n+1)^2 = (1+\zeta)(1-\zeta)^{-3},$$

we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta^n (n+1)(n+1+\mu) = \{1+\zeta+\mu(1-\zeta)\}(1-\zeta)^{-3}$$

and for each fixed l

$$\sum_{n=0}^{l} \zeta^n (n+1)(n+1+\mu) = \{1+\zeta+\mu(1-\zeta)\}(1-\zeta)^{-3} + O(|\zeta|^{l+1}). \tag{2.24}$$

Substituting (2.24) with $\zeta = (k/s)^2 e^{2i\theta}$ into (2.23), we have

$$(\tau \cos\theta - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} \sin\theta)^2 K_\mu(\tau,\theta)$$

$$= i(1-\zeta)^2\{1+\zeta+\mu(1-\zeta)\}(1-\zeta)^{-3} \frac{ie^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu} k^\mu}{s^\mu} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2(l+1)+\mu}}\right).$$

Since l can be arbitrary large whenever n is fixed, $\mu = \mu_m$ and $0 \le m \le n$, we obtain (2.16).

□

We continue the proof of (2.3). One can write

$$\tau \sin\theta + i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} \cos\theta = \frac{ise^{-i\theta}}{2}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{k}{s}\right)^2 e^{2i\theta}\right\}$$

and

$$\tau \cos\theta - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} \sin\theta = \frac{se^{-i\theta}}{2}\left\{1 - \left(\frac{k}{s}\right)^2 e^{2i\theta}\right\}.$$

From these and (2.15) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{(\tau \sin\theta + i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} \cos\theta) - x_0 \cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau \cos\theta - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} \sin\theta)\right\} I_\mu(\tau,\theta) \\ &= \left\{i(1+\zeta)(1-\zeta)^{-1} - x_0 \cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)\right\} \frac{ie^{i(\theta+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu} k^\mu}{s^\mu} + O(s^{-\infty}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Since

$$i(1+\zeta)(1-\zeta)^{-1} - i(1-\zeta)^2\{1+\zeta+\mu(1-\zeta)\}(1-\zeta)^{-3} = -i\mu,$$

it follows from (2.13), (2.14), (2.16) and (2.25) that

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} I_p(\tau) = \sum_{m=2}^{n} \alpha_m (i\mu_m + x_0 \cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)) \frac{e^{i(p+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu_m} k^{\mu_m}}{s^{\mu_m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}}}\right)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2} I_q(\tau) = \sum_{m=2}^{n} \alpha_m (-1)^m (i\mu_m + x_0 \cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)) \frac{e^{i(q+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu_m} k^{\mu_m}}{s^{\mu_m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}}}\right).$$

Now from these and (2.6) we obtain (2.3).

□

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

First consider the case when every end points of Σ_1, Σ_2, $\cdots$, Σ_m satisfies $x\cdot\omega<h_\Sigma(\omega)$. Then $x_0\in\Sigma$ with $x_0\cdot\omega=h_\Sigma(\omega)$ should be a vertex of D and a point where two segments in some Σ_j meet. We take the same polar coordinates as those of Section 2.

Integration by parts gives

$$I_\Sigma'(\tau;\omega,d,k)=\int_\Sigma [u]\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\partial_\tau v dS,$$

where $[u]=u^+|_{\partial D}-u^-|_{\partial D}$. Localizing this integral at x_0, we have, modulo exponentially decaying as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}I_\Sigma'(\tau;\omega,d,k)\\ \sim\ & e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}\int_{\Gamma_p}[u]\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\partial_\tau v dS+e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}\int_{\Gamma_q}[u]\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\partial_\tau v dS \qquad (3.1)\\ \equiv\ & I_p(\tau)+I_q(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.3) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_p(\tau)&=i\left\{(\tau\sin\,p+i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos\,p)-x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau\cos\,p-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\,p)\right\}\\ &\times\int_0^\eta(u^+(r,0)-u^-(r,2\pi))e^{\tau r\,\sin p}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\,\cos\,p}dr\\ &-i(\tau\cos\,p-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\,p)^2\int_0^\eta r(u^+(r,0)-u^-(r,2\pi))e^{\tau r\,\sin p}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\,\cos\,p}dr.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It follows also from (1.3) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_q(\tau)&=-i\left\{(\tau\sin\,q+i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos\,q)-x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau\cos\,q-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\,q)\right\}\\ &\times\int_0^\eta(u^+(r,\Theta)-u^-(r,\Theta))e^{\tau r\,\sin q}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\,\cos\,q}dr\\ &+i(\tau\cos\,q-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\,q)^2\int_0^\eta r(u^+(r,\Theta)-u^-(r,\Theta))e^{\tau r\,\sin q}e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,r\,\cos\,q}dr.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By Proposition 4.4 in [8], we have

$$u^+(r,\theta)=\sum_{m=1}^\infty\alpha_m^+J_{\mu_m^+}(kr)\cos\,\mu_m^+\theta, 0<r<\eta, 0\le\theta\le\Theta,$$

$$u^-(r,\theta)=\sum_{m=1}^\infty\alpha_m^-J_{\mu_m^-}(kr)\cos\,\mu_m^-(\theta-\Theta), 0<r<\eta, \Theta\le\theta\le 2\pi,$$

where $\mu_m^+=(m-1)\pi/\Theta$ and $\mu_m^-=(m-1)\pi/(2\pi-\Theta)$. For the precise meaning of this expansion see [8]. From these and $\mu_m^+ < \mu_m^-$ we have

$$[u]_p = u^+(r,0) - u^-(r,2\pi) = \sum_{m=1}^{l} \{J_{\mu_m^+}(kr)\alpha_m^+ + J_{\mu_m^-}(kr)\alpha_m^-(-1)^m\} + O(r^{\mu_{l+1}^+}),$$

$$[u]_q = u^+(r,\Theta) - u^-(r,\Theta) = -\sum_{m=1}^{l} \{J_{\mu_m^+}(kr)\alpha_m^+(-1)^m + J_{\mu_m^-}(kr)\alpha_m^-\} + O(r^{\mu_{l+1}^+}). \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from (3.4) and (2.11) that

$$\int_0^\eta (u^+(r,0) - u^-(r,2\pi))e^{\tau r \sin p} e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\, r \cos p} dr$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{n} \{\alpha_m^+ I_{\mu_m^+}(\tau,p) + \alpha_m^-(-1)^m I_{\mu_m^-}(\tau,p)\} + O(\tau^{-(\mu_{n+1}^+ + 1)}),$$

$$\int_0^\eta r(u^+(r,0) - u^-(r,2\pi))e^{\tau r \sin p} e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\, r \cos p} dr$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{n} \{\alpha_m^+ K_{\mu_m^+}(\tau,p) + \alpha_m^-(-1)^m K_{\mu_m^-}(\tau,p)\} + O(\tau^{-(\mu_{n+1}^+ + 2)}),$$

$$\int_0^\eta (u^+(r,\Theta) - u^-(r,\Theta))e^{\tau r \sin q} e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\, r \cos q} dr$$

$$= -\sum_{m=1}^{n} \{\alpha_m^+(-1)^m I_{\mu_m^+}(\tau,q) + \alpha_m^- I_{\mu_m^-}(\tau,q)\} + O(\tau^{-(\mu_{n+1}^+ + 1)}),$$

$$\int_0^\eta r(u^+(r,\Theta) - u^-(r,\Theta))e^{\tau r \sin q} e^{i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\, r \cos q} dr$$

$$= -\sum_{m=1}^{n} \{\alpha_m^+(-1)^m K_{\mu_m^+}(\tau,q) + \alpha_m^- K_{\mu_m^-}(\tau,q)\} + O(\tau^{-(\mu_{n+1}^+ + 2)}).$$

Substituting these into (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\, I_p(\tau)$$

$$= i\left\{(\tau \sin p + i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos p) - x_0 \cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau\cos p - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin p)\right\}$$

$$\times \sum_{m=1}^{n} \{\alpha_m^+ I_{\mu_m^+}(\tau,p) + \alpha_m^-(-1)^m I_{\mu_m^-}(\tau,p)\}$$

$$-i(\tau\cos p - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin p)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{n} \{\alpha_m^+ K_{\mu_m^+}(\tau,p) + \alpha_m^-(-1)^m K_{\mu_m^-}(\tau,p)\} + O(\tau^{-\mu_{n+1}^+}) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_q(\tau)$$

$$= -i\left\{(\tau\sin\, q + i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\cos\, q) - x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp)(\tau\cos\, q - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\, q)\right\}$$

$$\times\sum_{m=1}^{n}\{\alpha_m^+(-1)^m I_{\mu_m^+}(\tau,q)+\alpha_m^- I_{\mu_m^-}(\tau,q)\}$$

$$+i(\tau\cos\, q - i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\sin\, q)^2\sum_{m=1}^{n}\{\alpha_m^+(-1)^m K_{\mu_m^+}(\tau,q)+\alpha_m^- K_{\mu_m^-}(\tau,q)\} + O(\tau^{-\mu_{n+1}^+}). \tag{3.6}$$

It follows from (3.5), (3.6), (2.16) and (2.25) that

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_p(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{n}\alpha_m^+(i\mu_m^+ + x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp))\frac{e^{i(p+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu_m^+}k^{\mu_m^+}}{s^{\mu_m^+}}$$

$$+\sum_{m=1}^{n}\alpha_m^-(-1)^m(i\mu_m^- + x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp))\frac{e^{i(p+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu_m^-}k^{\mu_m^-}}{s^{\mu_m^-}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}^+}}\right)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}I_q(\tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{n}\alpha_m^+(-1)^m(i\mu_m^+ + x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp))\frac{e^{i(q+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu_m^+}k^{\mu_m^+}}{s^{\mu_m^+}}$$

$$+\sum_{m=1}^{n}\alpha_m^-(i\mu_m^- + x_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp))\frac{e^{i(q+\frac{\pi}{2})\mu_m^-}k^{\mu_m^-}}{s^{\mu_m^-}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}^+}}\right).$$

Now it follows from this and (3.1) that

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}I'_\Sigma(\tau;\omega,d,k)$$

$$= -i\sum_{m=1}^{n}\alpha_m^+\{e^{ip\mu_m^+}+(-1)^m e^{iq\mu_m^+}\}(-\mu_m^+ + ix_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp))\frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_m^+}k^{\mu_m^+}}{s^{\mu_m^+}} \tag{3.7}$$

$$-i\sum_{m=1}^{n}\alpha_m^-\{(-1)^m e^{ip\mu_m^-}+e^{iq\mu_m^-}\}(-\mu_m^- + ix_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp))\frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_m^-}k^{\mu_m^-}}{s^{\mu_m^-}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}^+}}\right).$$

Since we have the following cancellation ([8])

$$(-1)^m e^{ip\mu_m^-}+e^{iq\mu_m^-} = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

(3.7) becomes

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}I'_\Sigma(\tau;\omega,d,k)$$

$$= -i\sum_{m=1}^{n}\alpha_m^+\{e^{ip\mu_m^+}+(-1)^m e^{iq\mu_m^+}\}(-\mu_m^+ + ix_0\cdot c_\tau(\omega^\perp))\frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_m^+}k^{\mu_m^+}}{s^{\mu_m^+}} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}^+}}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

By the way, we have already known in [8] that

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-i\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\,x_0\cdot\omega^{\perp}}e^{-\tau h_D(\omega)}I_{\Sigma}(\tau;\omega,d,k)\\ &=-i\sum_{m=1}^{n}\alpha_m^{+}\{e^{ip\mu_m^{+}}+(-1)^m e^{iq\mu_m^{+}}\}\frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_m^{+}}k^{\mu_m^{+}}}{s^{\mu_m^{+}}}+O\left(\frac{1}{s^{\mu_{n+1}^{+}}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and there exists a $m\ge 2$ such that $\alpha_m^{+}\{e^{ip\mu_m^{+}}+(-1)^m e^{iq\mu_m^{+}}\}\neq 0$. Having these together with (3.9), hereafter we take the same course as the obstacle case.

The case when there is an end point of some Σ_j such that $x\cdot\omega=h_{\Sigma}(\omega)$ corresponds to the case when $p=q$. We omit its description.

$\Box$

Remark 3.1. From (3.9) and (3.10) we see that the field $u^{-}(r,\theta)$ never affects the asymptotic behaviour of $I'_{\Sigma}(\tau;\omega,d,k)$ and $I_{\Sigma}(\tau;\omega,d,k)$ as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$ modulo *rapidly decreasing*. The key point is cancellation (3.8).

4 Some other applications

In this section we present some implications of the argument done for the proof of Theorems 1.1.

4.1 From the far-field pattern of the scattered wave for a single incident plane wave.

Let $u=e^{ikx\cdot d}+w$ be the same as that of Theorem 1.1. It is well known that w has the asymptotic expansion as $r\longrightarrow\infty$ uniformly with respect to $\varphi\in S^1$:

$$w(r\varphi)=\frac{e^{ikr}}{\sqrt{r}}F(\varphi;d,k)+O\left(\frac{1}{r^{3/2}}\right).$$

The coefficient $F(\varphi;d,k)$ is called the far-field pattern of the scattered wave w at direction φ.

In this subsection we present a direct formula that extracts the coordinates of the vertices of the convex hull of unknown polygonal sound hard obstacles $D=D_1\cup\cdots D_m$ from the far field pattern for fixed d and k.

We identify $\varphi=(\varphi_1,\varphi_2)$ with the complex number given by $\varphi_1+i\varphi_2$ and denote it by the same symbol φ. Given $N=1,\cdots$, $\tau>0$, $\omega\in S^1$ and $k>0$ define the function $g_N(\,\cdot\,;\tau,k,\omega)$ on S^1 by the formula

$$g_N(\varphi;\tau,k,\omega)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{\vert m\vert\le N}\left\{\frac{ik\varphi}{(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\omega}\right\}^m.$$

Then we have

$$\partial_{\tau}g_N(\varphi;\tau,k,\omega)=-\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}}\sum_{1\le\vert m\vert\le N}m\left\{\frac{ik\varphi}{(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\omega}\right\}^m.$$

In this subsection B_R denotes the open disc centered at the origin of the coordinates with radius R and we assume that $\overline{D} \subset B_R$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ω be regular with respect to D. Let β_0 be the unique positive solution of the equation*

$$\frac{2}{e}s + \log s = 0.$$

Let β satisfy $0 < \beta < \beta_0$. Let $\{\tau(N)\}_{N=1,\cdots}$ be an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers satisfying, as $N \longrightarrow \infty$

$$\tau(N) = \frac{\beta N}{eR} + O(1).$$

Then the formula

$$\lim_{N \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{\displaystyle\int_{S^1} F(-\varphi; d, k)\partial_\tau g_N(\varphi; \tau(N), k, \omega)dS(\varphi)}{\displaystyle\int_{S^1} F(-\varphi; d, k)g_N(\varphi; \tau(N), k, \omega)dS(\varphi)} = h_D(\omega) + ix_0 \cdot \omega^\perp, \tag{4.1}$$

is valid.

In [10] we have shown that, under the same choice of $\tau(N)$ and ω being regular with respec to D

$$\lim_{N \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau(N)} \log \left| \int_{S^1} F(-\varphi; d, k)g_N(\varphi; \tau(N), k, \omega)dS(\varphi) \right| = h_D(\omega).$$

Thus Theorem 4.1 corresponds to Theorem 1.1.

Let us describe the proof of Theorem 4.1. The starting point is the following identity which is a consequence of the formula (2.9) in [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\sqrt{8\pi k}}{e^{i\pi/4}} \int_{S^1} F(-\varphi; d, k)g_N(\varphi; \tau, k, \omega)dS(\varphi) \\ &= I(\tau; \omega, d, k) + \int_{\partial B_R} \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(v_{g_N} - v_\tau) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(v_{g_N} - v_\tau)u \right\} dS \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$v_{g_N}(y) = \int_{S^1} e^{iy \cdot \varphi} g_N(\varphi; \tau, k, \omega)dS(\varphi).$$

By Theorem 2.1 in [10] we know that the second term in the right-hand side of (4.2) has the bound $e^{-\tau(N)R}O(N^{-\infty})$ as $N \longrightarrow \infty$. Since $e^{-\tau(N)h_D(\omega)} = O(e^{\tau(N)R})$, it follows from (2.4) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{N \longrightarrow \infty} \tau(N)^{\mu_{m^*}} e^{-i\sqrt{\tau(N)^2+k^2}x_0 \cdot \omega^\perp} e^{-\tau(N)h_D(\omega)} \frac{\sqrt{8\pi k}}{e^{i\pi/4}} \int_{S^1} F(-\varphi; d, k)g_N(\varphi; \tau(N), k, \omega)dS(\varphi) \\ &= i\beta e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_{m^*}} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{\mu_{m^*}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Similarly to (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{\sqrt{8\pi k}}{e^{i\pi/4}}\int_{S^1}F(-\varphi;d,k)\partial_\tau g_N(\varphi;\tau,k,\omega)dS(\varphi)\\&=I'(\tau;\omega,d,k)+\int_{\partial B_R}\left\{\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}(v_{\partial_\tau g_N}-\partial_\tau v_\tau)-\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}(v_{\partial_\tau g_N}-\partial_\tau v_\tau)u\right\}dS\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

where

$$v_{\partial_\tau g_N}(y)=\int_{S^1}e^{iy\cdot\varphi}\partial_\tau g_N(\varphi;\tau,\omega,k,d)dS(\varphi).$$

The following lemma corresponds to Theorem 2.1 in [10] and see Appendix for the proof.

Lemma 4.1. *We have, as $N\longrightarrow\infty$*

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{R\tau(N)}\sup_{|y|\le R}\left|\int_{S^1}e^{iky\cdot\varphi}\partial_\tau g_N(\varphi;\tau(N),k,\omega)dS(\varphi)-\partial_\tau v_\tau(y)|_{\tau=\tau(N)}\right|\\&+e^{R\tau(N)}\sup_{|y|\le R}\left|\nabla\left\{\int_{S^1}e^{iky\cdot\varphi}\partial_\tau g_N(\varphi;\tau(N),k,\omega)dS(\varphi)-\partial_\tau v_\tau(y)|_{\tau=\tau(N)}\right\}\right|\\&=O(N^{-\infty}).\end{aligned}$$

Now from Lemma 4.1, (4.3) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{N\longrightarrow\infty}\tau(N)^{\mu_{m^*}}e^{-i\sqrt{\tau(N)^2+k^2}x_0\cdot\omega^\perp}e^{-\tau(N)h_D(\omega)}\frac{\sqrt{8\pi k}}{e^{i\pi/4}}\int_{S^1}F(-\varphi;d,k)\partial_\tau g_N(\varphi;\tau(N),k,\omega)dS(\varphi)\\&=i\beta(x_0\cdot\omega+ix_0\cdot\omega^\perp)e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mu_{m^*}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{\mu_{m^*}}.\end{aligned}$$

From this together with (4.4) yields (4.1).

4.2 From the Cauchy data of the scattered wave for a single point source

Let $y\in\mathbf{R}^2\setminus\overline{D}$. Let $E=E_D(x,y)$ be the unique solution of the scattering problem:

$$(\triangle+k^2)E=0\text{ in }\mathbf{R}^2\setminus\overline{D},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}E=-\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\Phi_0(\,\cdot\,,y)\text{ on }\partial D,$$

$$\lim_{r\longrightarrow\infty}\sqrt{r}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial r}-ikE\right)=0,$$

where

$$\Phi_0(x,y)=\frac{i}{4}H_0^{(1)}(k|x-y|)$$

and $H_0^{(1)}$ denotes the Hankel function of the first kind [14].

The total wave outside D exerted by the point source located at y is given by the formula:

$$\Phi_D(x,y)=\Phi_0(x,y)+E_D(x,y),\ x\in\mathbf{R}^2\setminus\overline{D}.$$

In this subsection we consider the following problem.

Inverse Problem. Let $R_1>R$. We denote by B_R and B_{R_1} the open discs centered at a common point with radius R and R_1, respectively. Assume that $\overline{D}\subset B_R$. Fix $k>0$ and $y\in\partial B_{R_1}$. Extract information about the location and shape of D from $\Phi_D(x,y)$ given at all $x\in\partial B_R$.

Define

$$J(\tau;\omega,y,k)=\int_{\partial B_R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\Phi_D(x,y)\cdot v_\tau(x;\omega)-\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}v_\tau(x;\omega)\cdot\Phi_D(x,y)\right)dS(x).$$

Then we have

$$J'(\tau;\omega,y,k)=\int_{\partial B_R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\Phi_D(x,y)\cdot\partial_\tau v_\tau-\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}(\partial_\tau v_\tau)\cdot\Phi_D(x,y)\right)dS(x).$$

Note that $(\partial/\partial\nu)\Phi_D(x,y)$ for $x\in\partial B_R$ can be computed from $\Phi_D(x,y)$ given at all $x\in\partial B_R$ by solving an exterior Dirichlet problem for the Helmholtz equation. See [12] for this point. This remark applies also to $(\partial/\partial\nu)u$ on ∂B_R in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

A combination of the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [12] and the same argument as done in the proof of Theorem 1.1 yields the following formula.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that*

$$\text{diam}\,D<\text{dist}\,(D,\partial B_{R_1}).\tag{4.5}$$

Let ω be regular with respect to D. Let $x_0\in\partial D$ be the point with $x_0\cdot\omega=h_D(\omega)$. Then, there exists a $\tau_0>0$ such that, for all $\tau\ge\tau_0$ $|J(\tau;\omega,d,k)|>0$ and the formula

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{J'(\tau;\omega,y,k)}{J(\tau;\omega,y,k)}=h_D(\omega)+ix_0\cdot\omega^\perp,$$

is valid.

Note that it is an open problem whether one can drop condition (4.5). For more information about this see [12].

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)(No. 21540162) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The author thanks Takashi Ohe for useful discussion.

5 Appendix

5.1 Proof of Proposition 2.1

Proof. For each m define

$$u_m(r,\theta)=\int_0^{2\Theta}u(r,\theta)\cos\,\mu_m\theta d\theta,\ (r,\theta)\in]0,\,2\eta[\times[0,\,\Theta].$$

Then we see that u_m satisfies the equation

$$-(ry')' + (\frac{\mu_m^2}{r} - k^2 r)y = 0 \text{ in}]0,\, 2\eta[$$

and thus this yields that there exist numbers α_m, β_m such that

$$u_m(r) = \alpha_m J_{\mu_m}(kr) + \beta_m Y_{\mu_m}(kr),$$

where $Y_{\mu_m}(kr)$ denotes the Bessel function of the second kind ([14]). Then a similar argument done in [3] and the behaviour of $J_{\mu_m}(kr)$ and $Y_{\mu_m}(kr)$ as $r \longrightarrow 0$ ([14]), one concludes that $\beta_m = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$. Substituting $u_m(r) = \alpha_m J_{\mu_m}(kr)$ into the inequality

$$\int_0^{2\eta} r|u_m'(r)|^2 dr \leq \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(B_{2\eta}(x_0)\cap(B_R\setminus\overline{D}))} < \infty,$$

we obtain

$$\alpha_m^2 \int_0^{2\eta} r|\{J_{\mu_m}(kr)\}'|^2 dr \leq C \tag{A.1}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of m.

Thus the problem is to estimate the integral in the left-hand side of (A.1) from below. For the purpose we make use of the following formula which can be checked directly:

$$\{J_{\mu_m}(kr)\}' = \frac{\mu_m}{r} J_{\mu_m}(kr) - kJ_{\mu_m+1}(kr).$$

From this we have

$$r|\{J_{\mu_m}(kr)\}'|^2 = \frac{\mu_m^2}{r}|J_{\mu_m}(kr)|^2 - 2\mu_m k J_{\mu_m}(kr)J_{\mu_m+1}(kr) + k^2 r|J_{\mu_m+1}(kr)|^2$$

and thus

$$\int_0^{2\eta} r|\{J_{\mu_m}(kr)\}'|^2 dr \geq \mu_m^2 \int_0^{2\eta} \frac{1}{r}|J_{\mu_m}(kr)|^2 dr - 2\mu_m k \int_o^{2\eta} J_{\mu_m}(k)J_{\mu_m+1}(kr)dr. \tag{A.2}$$

By formula (37) on p.338 in [1] and a change of independent variable we have

$$\begin{aligned} k\int_0^{2\eta} J_{\mu_m}(kr)J_{\mu_m+1}(kr)dr &= \int_0^{2\eta k} J_{\mu_m}(r)J_{\mu_m+1}(r)dr \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |J_{\mu_m+n+1}(2\eta k)|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Furthermore from (A.3) and the recurrence relation of the Bessel functions

$$\mu_m J_{\mu_m}(kr) = kr(J_{\mu_m-1}(kr) + J_{\mu_m+1}(kr))$$

which can be checked directly we have

$$\int_0^{2\eta}\frac{1}{r}|J_{\mu_m}(kr)|^2dr=\frac{k}{\mu_m}\int_0^{2\eta}J_{\mu_m-1}(kr)J_{\mu_m}(kr)dr+\frac{k}{\mu_m}\int_0^{2\eta}J_{\mu_m}(kr)J_{\mu_m+1}(kr)dr$$

$$=\frac{1}{\mu_m}\int_0^{2\eta k}J_{\mu_m-1}(r)J_{\mu_m}(r)dr+\frac{1}{\mu_m}\int_0^{2\eta k}J_{\mu_m}(r)J_{\mu_m+1}(r)dr$$

$$=\frac{1}{\mu_m}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|J_{\mu_m+n}(2\eta k)|^2+\frac{1}{\mu_m}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|J_{\mu_m+n+1}(2\eta k)|^2$$

$$=\frac{1}{\mu_m}|J_{\mu_m}(2\eta k)|^2+\frac{2}{\mu_m}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|J_{\mu_m+n+1}(2\eta k)|^2.$$

This together with (A.2) and (A.3) yields

$$\int_0^{2\eta}r|\{J_{\mu_m}(kr)\}'|^2dr\ge\mu_m|J_{\mu_m}(2\eta k)|^2. \tag{A.4}$$

Since

$$J_{\mu_m}(2\eta k)=\frac{(\eta k)^{\mu_m}}{\Gamma(1+\mu_m)}\left\{1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m\Gamma(1+\mu_m)}{\Gamma(1+\mu_m+n)}(\eta k)^{2n}\right\}$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\mu_m)}{\Gamma(1+\mu_m+n)}=\frac{1}{\Pi_{j=1}^n(j+\mu_m)}\le\frac{1}{n!},$$

it holds that

$$|J_{\mu_m}(2\eta k)|\ge\frac{(\eta k)^{\mu_m}}{\Gamma(1+\mu_m)}(1-(e^{\eta k}-1)).$$

Thus choosing ηk in such a way that $e^{\eta k}-1<1/2$, that is, $\eta k<\log(3/2)$, we obtain

$$|J_{\mu_m}(2\eta k)|\ge\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\eta k)^{\mu_m}}{\Gamma(1+\mu_m)}.$$

This together with (A.4) gives the following estimate:

$$\int_0^{2\eta}r|\{J_{\mu_m}(kr)\}'|^2dr\ge\frac{1}{4}\frac{\mu_m}{\Gamma(1+\mu_m)^2}(\eta k)^{2\mu_m}. \tag{A.5}$$

Then (A.1) and (A.5) give the estimate in (2). The remaining parts of the statements are consequences of (2) and the completeness of $(\cos\,\mu_m\theta)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^2(]0,\,\Theta[)$.
□

5.2 Proof of Lemma 2.3

Since

$$\Gamma(n+2+l+\mu)=\{\Pi_{j=1}^{n+1}(j+l+\mu)\}\Gamma(1+l+\mu),$$

one can rewrite

$$\sum_{n_1+n_2=n} \frac{(-1)^{n_2}\Gamma(n+2+n_2+\mu)}{n_1!n_2!\Gamma(1+n_2+\mu)}$$

$$= \sum_{n_1+n_2=n} \frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{n_1!n_2!}\Pi_{j=1}^{n+1}(j+n_2+\mu)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{n_1+n_2=n} \frac{(-1)^{n_2}n!}{n_1!n_2!}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n+1}\{x^{n+1+n_2+\mu}\}|_{x=1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n+1}\{(1-x)^n x^{n+1+\mu}\}|_{x=1}$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{n!}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n}\{(1-x)^n\}\cdot\frac{d}{dx}x^{n+1+\mu}|_{x=1}$$

$$= (-1)^n(n+1)(n+1+\mu).$$

□

5.3 Proof of Lemma 4.1

In [10] we have already known that

$$v_{g_N}(y) - v_\tau(y)$$

$$= -\sum_{m>N}\left\{\frac{(\tau-\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\overline{\omega}}{k}\right\}^m J_m(kr)e^{im\theta} - \sum_{m>N}\left\{\frac{(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\omega}{k}\right\}^m J_m(kr)e^{-im\theta}, \tag{A.6}$$

where $y=(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$. Since

$$\partial_\tau\left\{\frac{(\tau\mp\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\overline{\omega}}{k}\right\}^m = m\left\{\frac{(\tau\mp\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\overline{\omega}}{k}\right\}^{m-1}\frac{(\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}\mp\tau)\overline{\omega}}{k\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}}$$

$$= \mp\frac{m}{\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}}\left\{\frac{(\tau-\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\overline{\omega}}{k}\right\}^m,$$

from (A.6) we have

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}(v_{\partial_\tau g_N}(y) - \partial_\tau v_\tau(y))$$

$$= \sum_{m>N} m\left\{\frac{(\tau-\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\overline{\omega}}{k}\right\}^m J_m(kr)e^{im\theta} - \sum_{m>N} m\left\{\frac{(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})\omega}{k}\right\}^m J_m(kr)e^{-im\theta}.$$

Since

$$|J_m(kr)| \le \left(\frac{kr}{2}\right)^m\frac{1}{m!},$$

it follows that

$$\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2}|v_{\partial_\tau g_N}(y)-\partial_\tau v_\tau(y)| \le C(N+1)E(\tau;N+1), \tag{A.7}$$

where $C>0$ is independent of N and τ and

$$E(\tau;N)=\frac{1}{N!}\left\{\frac{R(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})}{2}\right\}^N e^{R(\tau+\sqrt{\tau^2+k^2})/2}.$$

By virtue of the choice of $\tau(N)$, β and the Stirling formula (cf. [14]), we have

$$e^{R\tau(N)}E(\tau(N);N+1)=O(N^{-\infty}).$$

This is the key point and see [10] for the detail of the derivation. This together with (A.7) yields the half of the desired estimates. The remaining estimate can be also given similarly.

□

References

[1] Bateman, H., Higher Transcendental Functions, Volume II, Bateman Manuscript Project, ed. Erdélyi, A., McGRAW-HILL, New York, 1954.

[2] Colton D and Kress R 1995, *Eigenvalues of the far field operator for the Helmholtz equation in an absorbing medium*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **55**, 1724-1735.

[3] Grisvard, P., Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains, Pitman, Boston, 1985.

[4] Ikehata, M., Enclosing a polygonal cavity in a two-dimensional bounded domain from Cauchy data, Inverse Problems, **15**(1999), 1231-1241.

[5] Ikehata, M., Reconstruction of the support function for inclusion from boundary measurements, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems, **8**(2000), 367-378.

[6] Ikehata, M., On reconstruction in the inverse conductivity problem with one measuremen, Inverse Problems, **16**(2000), 785-793.

[7] Ikehata, M., Complex geometrical optics solutions and inverse crack problems, Inverse Problems, **19**(2003), 1385-1405.

[8] Ikehata, M., Inverse scattering problems and the enclosure method, Inverse Problems, **20**(2004), 533-551.

[9] Ikehata, M., An inverse transmission scattering problem and the enclosure method, Computing, **75**(2005), 133-156.

[10] Ikehata, M., The Herglotz wave function, the Vekua transform and the enclosure method, Hiroshima Math. J., **35**(2005), 485-506.

[11] Ikehata, M., The enclosure method for inverse obstacle scattering problems with dynamical data over a finite time interval, Inverse Problems, **26**(2010) 055010(20pp).

[12] Ikehata, M., A note on the enclosure method for an inverse obstacle scattering problem with a single point source, Inverse Problems, **26**(2010) 105006(17pp).

[13] Ikehata, M. and Itou, H., Extracting the support function of a cavity in an isotropic elastic body from a single set of boundary data, Inverse Problems, **25**(2009) 105005(21pp).

[14] Olver, W. J., Asymptotics and Special Functions, New York, Academic Press, 1974.